

### ESS2222

### **Lecture 6 – Neural Networks**

Hosein Shahnas

University of Toronto, Department of Earth Sciences,



- Combining Perceptrons
- Optimization
- Neural Networks
- □ Applying SGD & Recursion Relation
- Back Propagation Algorithm



#### **Review of Lecture 5**

Soft margin SVM for slightly nonlinear problems Kernel method for seriously nonlinear problems Minimize  $\frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_i \xi^i$ ,  $\xi^i \ge 0$ Subject to:  $y^i (w_0 + w^T x^i) \ge 1 - \xi^i \quad \forall i$  where  $\xi^i \ge 0$ 



#### Softmax

Generalization of the logistic function to multi-class settings

$$\Phi(z_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_j}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{softmax}(z_j) = \frac{e^{z_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{z_k}}$$



#### **One-vs.-All (OvA)**



#### **Image Recognition**



## **Biological Neural Structure**

**Biology as inspiration** 



**Perceptrons** are the building blocks of the neural networks connected by synapses. So we may get the human intelligence by combining these building blocks.

**Imitating not exact:** Imitating biology has a limit. The airplane flies but doesn't flap wings! Our engineering does not depend on the details.





Let's explore what we can do with combinations of perceptrons rather than single ones.

Let's consider the classification problem for which the perceptron algorithm failed.



This problem **cannot** be classified by a single perceptron. But what about with two perceptrons?





	AND	
$x_1$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	Output
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

$$\sigma \equiv \phi(z) = \begin{cases} 0, \ z < 0 \\ 1, \ z \ge 0 \end{cases} \quad Z = \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i \, x_i$$

Class 0Class 1

6

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{x}_1 \, \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \, \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_0$ 

 $\sigma = \phi(1 * 0 + 1 * 0 - 1.5) = 0$   $\sigma = \phi(1 * 0 + 1 * 1 - 1.5) = 0$   $\sigma = \phi(1 * 1 + 1 * 0 - 1.5) = 0$  $\sigma = \phi(1 * 1 + 1 * 1 - 1.5) = 1$ 

y

And

 $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + w_0 = 0$   $x_2 = \frac{-w_1}{w_2} x_1 - \frac{w_0}{w_2}$ 

# **Logic Gates**

	OR			NAND	
<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	Output	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	Output
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	0
	AND			XOR	
<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	AND x <sub>2</sub>	Output	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	XOR x <sub>2</sub>	Output
<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> 0	AND $x_2$ O	Output 0	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> 0	$\frac{XOR}{x_2}$	Output 0
<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> 0 0	AND <b>x</b> 2 0 1	Output O O	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> 0 0	XOR <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> 0 1	Output O 1
x <sub>1</sub> 0 0	AND <b>x</b> 2 0 1 0	<b>Output</b> 0 0 0	x <sub>1</sub> 0 0	XOR <b>x</b> 2 0 1 0	Output O 1 1





$$\sigma \equiv \phi(z) = \begin{cases} 0, \ z < 0 \\ 1, \ z \ge 0 \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{Z} = \sum_i \ w_i \ x_i \end{cases}$$

Nand

8

And



$$\sigma \equiv \phi(z) = \begin{cases} 0, \ z < 0 \\ 1, \ z \ge 0 \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{Z} = \sum_i \ w_i \ x_i \end{cases}$$

 $\sigma = \phi(20 * 0 + 20 * 0 - 10) = 0 \qquad \sigma = \phi(-20 * 0 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1$  $\sigma = \phi(20 * 1 + 20 * 1 - 10) = 1 \qquad \sigma = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 1 + 30) = 0$  $\sigma = \phi(20 * 0 + 20 * 1 - 10) = 1 \qquad \sigma = \phi(-20 * 0 - 20 * 1 + 30) = 1$  $\sigma = \phi(20 * 1 + 20 * 0 - 10) = 1 \qquad \sigma = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1$  $\rho = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1$  $\rho = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1$  $\rho = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1$  $\rho = \phi(-20 * 1 - 20 * 0 + 30) = 1 \\\rho =$   $\sigma = \phi(20 * 0 + 20 * 1 - 30) = 0$   $\sigma = \phi(20 * 1 + 20 * 0 - 30) = 0$   $\sigma = \phi(20 * 1 + 20 * 1 - 30) = 1$  $\sigma = \phi(20 * 1 + 20 * 1 - 30) = 1$ 

And



Nand



# **Multilayer Perceptron**









## **Multilayer Perceptron**



We solved this problem using **feature-transformation** before.

We can use neural networks.

**Optimization** 

There are many perceptrons (and therefore many parameters), so optimization might be a problem (remember that for single perceptron when the data were nonlinear, we had convergence problem).

Solution:

1- We chose a soft threshold (tanh) rather than a hard threshold (step function).

2-We use SGD

3- And an efficient way to find weight factors w.

$$\phi(z) = \tanh(z) = \frac{e^{z} - e^{-z}}{e^{z} + e^{-z}}$$
  
$$\phi'(z) = 1 - \tanh(z)^{2}$$



Input Hidden layers output

 $0 \qquad 1 \le l < L \qquad L$ 

#### **Neural Networks**



 $d^{(0)}$ : dimension of the feature space

$$\begin{array}{c} x_{0}^{(0)} \\ x_{1}^{(0)} \\ x_{2}^{(0)} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} x_{0}^{(1)} \\ x_{1}^{(1)} \\ x_{2}^{(1)} \\ x_{3}^{(1)} \\ x_{4}^{(1)} \\ x_{5}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{0}^{(2)} \\ x_{1}^{(2)} \\ x_{2}^{(2)} \\ x_{3}^{(2)} \\ x_{4}^{(2)} \\ x_{5}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} [x_{1}^{(3)}]$$

14

#### **Neural Networks**



15

# Applying SGD $x_{j}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{\phi}\left(z_{j}^{(i)}\right)$ All the weights $w = \{w_{ij}^{(l)}\}$ determine h(x). $x_j^{(l)}$ $z_j^{(l)}$ Error on sample $(x_n, y_n)$ : $e(w) = e(h(x_n), y_n)$ $w_{ij}^{(l)}$ To implement SGD, we need to calculate: $\nabla e(w) = \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial w_{ij}^{(l)}} \quad \forall i, j, l$ Computing $\frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial w_{ii}^{(l)}}$ : $x_i^{(l-1)}$ $\frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial w_{ij}^{(l)}}$ can be calculated by perturbing $w_{ij}^{(l)}$ and observing the variations on the error at the output and get numerical estimates for partial derivatives. The problem with this approach is that we have to do this for all $w_{ii}^{(l)}$ .

#### But we can obtain a recursion relation and then get all coefficients using this formula.

#### **Recursion Relation**



If we can find a recursion relation for  $\delta_j$ <sup>(1)</sup>, then we can compute all of them by knowing one of them.

We compute  $\delta_j$  <sup>(1)</sup> for the final layer, because if we know  $\delta$  later we can obtain  $\delta$  earlier (back propagation).

For l = L, j = 1,  $\delta_j^{(l)} = \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial z_j^{(l)}} \rightarrow \delta_1^{(L)} = \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial z_1^{(L)}}$ 

We have  $e(w) = e(h(x_n), y_n)$ , but for the final layer:  $h(x_n) = \phi(z_1^{(L)}) = x_1^{(L)}$ 

Then:  $e(w) = e(x_1^{(L)}, y_n)$ 

If  $e(w) = (h(x_n) - y_n)^2$  then  $e(w) = (x_1^{(L)} - y_n)^2$ 

For tanh-activation function:  $\phi(z) = \tanh(z) = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}}, \qquad \phi'(z) = 1 - \tanh(z)^2$ 

# Back Propogation of $\delta$

\_\_\_\_\_

Now we want to calculate: 
$$\delta_{i}^{(l-1)} = \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial z_{i}^{(l-1)}}$$
  $x_{j}^{(l)}$   
 $\delta_{j}^{(l-1)} = \sum_{j}^{d(l)} \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial z_{j}^{(l)}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{(l)}}{\partial x_{i}^{(l-1)}} \frac{\partial x_{i}^{(l-1)}}{\partial z_{i}^{(l-1)}}$  (chain rule)  
 $\delta_{j}^{(l-1)} = \sum_{j}^{d(l)} = \delta_{i}^{(l)} w_{ij}^{(l)} \phi'(z_{i}^{(l-1)})$   
 $\delta_{j}^{(l-1)} = (1 - (x_{i}^{(l-1)})^{2}) \sum_{j}^{d(l)} \delta_{i}^{(l)} w_{ij}^{(l)}$  (For tanh-activation function)  $z_{i}^{(l-1)}$   
 $\frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial w_{ij}^{(l)}} = x_{i}^{(l-1)} \delta_{j}^{(l)}$   
 $\Delta w^{(l)}_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\partial e(w)}{\partial w_{ij}^{(l)}}$ 

### **Back Propogation Algorithm**

For tanh-activation function

1 - Initialize  $w_{ij}^{(l)}$  at random 2 - For t = 0, 1, 2 ...... 3 - pick n  $\in \{1, 2, ...., N\}$  (random pickup, i.e. SGD) 4 - Forward compute all  $x_j^{(l)}$ 5 - Backward compute all  $\delta_j^{(l)}$ 6 - Update weights  $w_{ij}^{(l)} = w_{ij}^{(l)} - x_i^{(l-1)} \delta_j^{(l)}$ 7 - Iterate until the stopping criterion is achieved. 8 - Return the final weights  $w_{ij}^{(l)}$ 

Be careful: Initialize  $w_{ij}^{(l)}$  at random and not to zero

If we do so, either  $x_i^{(l)}$  or  $\delta_i^{(l)}$  will become zero and therefore not useful.

 $\sum_{\substack{w_{ij}^{(l)}\\ x_i^{(l-1)}}} \delta_j^{(l)}$ 

#### Remark

Neural networks can be thought as Learned Nonlinear Transform. Note that the nonlinear transformation of features (e.g., polynomial, RBF, etc.) are not learned transformation.

Since in the hidden layers the features are higher order features (leaned features), then we can implement a better learning. Indeed the network looks for weight factors for a proper transform the factors that fits data.



Hidden layers : higher order features or learned features

**Dropout is a regularization technique** for neural network models (Srivastava, et al., 2014). This is a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting.

Dropout

Some key points: 1) Use 20%-50% dropout

2) Dropout with larger network in general provides better performance, giving the model more of an opportunity to learn independent representations.

3) Dropout can be used on visible (input) as well as hidden layers



Standard neural network



Neural network with dropout



#### **Example 1:** MNIST Database - Handwritten digits

A simple sequential deep learning model for handwritten digits recognition using Keras and TensorFlow,



tf.keras.layers.Flatten(input\_shape=(28, 28) tf.keras.layers.Dense(512, activation=tf.nn.relu) tf.keras.layers.Dropout(0.2) tf.keras.layers.Dense(10, activation=tf.nn.softmax)

#### **A Simple Network**

#### **MNIST** handwritten digits

```
2 import tensorflow as tf
 3mnist = tf.keras.datasets.mnist
 4 import sys
 9(x train, y train),(x test, y test) = mnist.load data()
10x train, x test = x train / 255.0, x test / 255.0 # scale
11print('x_train.shape= ', x_train.shape)
12print('x test.shape = ', x_test.shape)
17model = tf.keras.models.Sequential([
18tf.keras.layers.Flatten(input_shape=(28, 28)),# flatten data 28x28 --> 78419tf.keras.layers.Dense(512, activation=tf.nn.relu),# dense layer =512
20 tf.keras.layers.Dropout(0.2),
21 tf.keras.layers.Dense(10, activation=tf.nn.softmax) # output Layer = 10
22])
27Cross entropy loss function: measures the dissimilarity between the distribution of observed class labels
28 and the predicted probabilities of class lables.
29 Categorical refers to the possibility of having more than two classes.
30 Sparse refers to using a single integer from zero to the number of classes minus one (e.g. { 0; 1; or 2 }
31 for a three-class problem), instead of a dense one-hot encoding of the class label (e.g. { 1,0,0; 0,1,0; or 0,0,1 }
32 for a class label for the same three-class problem).
41model.fit(x_train, y_train, epochs=10)
4)#______ fit the model
45print('-----test')
46 model.evaluate(x test, y test)
49 sys.exit()
```

**Example 2:** Pima Indians onset of diabetes dataset

A simple sequential deep learning model for predicting handwritten digits using Keras,



model.add(Dense(8, input\_dim=8, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(12, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))

## A Simple Network Onset of Diabetes Dataset

```
4 from keras import optimizers
 5 from keras.models import Sequential
 6 from keras.layers import Dense
 7 import keras
 8 import numpy
15dataset = numpy.loadtxt("pima-indians-diabetes.csv", delimiter=",")
20X = dataset[:,0:8]
21Y = dataset[:,8]
22print('X.shape = ', X.shape)
23print('Y.shape = ', Y.shape)
28model = Sequential()
29model.add(Dense(8, input dim=8, activation='relu'))
30model.add(Dense(12, activation='relu'))
 32model.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))
```

#### A Simple Network Onset of Diabetes Dataset

```
37model.compile(loss='binary crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])
40 #model.compile(loss='binary crossentropy', optimizer='sgd', metrics=['accuracy'])
41sgd = optimizers.SGD(lr=0.01, decay=1e-6, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True)
42 model.compile(loss='binary_crossentropy', optimizer=sgd, metrics=['accuracy'])
44Adagrad = optimizers.Adagrad(lr=0.01, epsilon=None, decay=0.0)
45model.compile(loss='binary_crossentropy', optimizer=Adagrad, metrics=['accuracy'])
51model.fit(X, Y, epochs=1000, batch_size=10)
58 scores = model.evaluate(X, Y)
59print('======== test')
60print("\n%s: %.2f%%" % (model.metrics names[1], scores[1]*100))
61print('====== test')
63 sys.exit()
```