

# List of Figures

## Chapter 1: Introduction

- Figure 1.1: Model of the relationships between CCMVal, the CCM groups, and the WMO/UNEP Assessment.....2
- Figure 1.2: Schematic diagram of the CCMVal evaluation approach.....3

## Chapter 2: Chemistry Climate Models and Scenarios

- Figure 2.1: Basic structure of a CCM and external forcings..... 19
- Figure 2.2: HadISST1 and HadGEM1 SSTs.....47
- Figure 2.3: Surface total chlorine and total bromine as defined in the A1 scenario. Surface CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> as defined in the SRES A1b scenario.....48
- Figure 2.4: Surface emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CH<sub>2</sub>O as used for CCMVal-2 simulations....48
- Figure 2.5: Aerosol surface area density, reconstructed from SAGE data. ....49
- Figure 2.6: Zonal wind (u) from merged observations at Canton Island, Gan and Singapore, vertically extended.....49
- Figure 2.7: Total solar irradiance updated from Lean et al. (2005).....50

## Chapter 3: Radiation

- Figure 3.1: Climatological global and annual mean temperature, ozone mixing ratio, and water vapour mixing ratio and biases for REF-B1 model simulations .....74
- Figure 3.2: Near global (70°S-70°N) and annual mean trends over 1980-1999 for (a) temperature, (b) ozone, and (c) water vapour ratio, for REF-B1 model simulations.....77
- Figure 3.3: Near global mean time series (70°S-70°N) of MSU/SSU satellite observations and REF-B1 model temperature data.....79
- Figure 3.4: Global and annual mean temperature trends from (a) REF-B1 for 1980-1999; and from REF-B2 for (b) 1980-1999, (c) 2000-2049, and (d) 2050-2099. ....81
- Figure 3.5: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations from the LBL code at the model pseudo-tropopause (200 hPa). ....83
- Figure 3.6: The global and diurnal mean SW (red circles), LW (blue circles) and total (black diamonds) net flux deviations from the LBL code (AER for LW and libRadtran for SW) at the surface.....83
- Figure 3.7: The vertical profiles of the global and diurnal mean LW downward flux from the LBL code (AER) and the absolute deviations of SOCOL, LMDZrepro and CCSRNIES results from the reference AER LBL scheme. ....84
- Figure 3.8: The vertical profiles of the global and diurnal mean SW downward flux from the LBL code (libRadtran) and the absolute deviations of SOCOL, MRI and LMDZrepro results from the reference libRadtran LBL scheme. ....84
- Figure 3.9: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations of the radiative forcing due to CO<sub>2</sub> (case B) increase relative to the results of LBL codes at the pseudo-tropopause.....86

Figure 3.10: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations of the radiative forcing due to LLGHG (case G) increase relative to the results of LBL codes at the pseudo-tropopause.....86

Figure 3.11: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations of the radiative forcing due to stratospheric ozone depletion (case H) relative to the results of LBL codes at the pseudo-tropopause.....86

Figure 3.12: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations of the radiative forcing due to stratospheric water vapour increase (case J) relative to the results of LBL codes at the pseudo-tropopause.....86

Figure 3.13: The global and diurnal mean SW, LW and total net flux deviations of the radiative forcing due to LLGHG and stratospheric ozone changes (case L) relative to the results of LBL codes at the pseudo-tropopause.....86

Figure 3.14: Globally averaged shortwave heating rates for case A (control) and for case L minus case A.....90

Figure 3.15: Globally averaged longwave cooling rates for case A (control) and for case L minus case A.....91

Figure 3.16: The bias in the simulated global mean temperature at 2 hPa and the estimated contributions of CCM biases in: ozone climatology, water vapour climatology, and longwave/shortwave heating rates calculations.....96

Figure 3.17: Global mean, shortwave heating rate differences between minimum and maximum of the 11-year solar cycle in January (K/d), calculated offline in CCM radiation schemes and one reference LBL model. ....99

Figure 3.18: CCM grades for globally averaged climatological stratospheric temperatures and their trend.. ....102

Figure 3.19: CCM grades for globally averaged fluxes at the 200 hPa tropopause and their change (radiative forcing). ....102

Figure 3.20: CCM grades for globally averaged climatological stratospheric heating rates and their changes. ....103

Figure 3.21: A summary of the average CCM grade for temperature, flux, heating rate and solar variability metrics. ....103

**Chapter 4: Stratospheric Dynamics**

Figure 4.1: Climatological mean temperature biases for 60°N–90°N and 60°S–90°S for the winter and spring seasons. ....112

Figure 4.2: Descent of the zero zonal-mean zonal wind at 60°S based on the climatological mean annual cycle for REF-B1 simulations.....113

Figure 4.3: Zonal wind speed and latitude of the jet maximum of the NH DJF climatology, and of the SH JJA climatology in the REF-B1 simulations.. ....114

Figure 4.4: Temperature trends from 1980 to 1999, 2000 to 2049 and 2050 to 2099 .....115

Figure 4.5: Latitudinal location and value of the maximum amplitude of the stationary wave field for the NH DJF climatology, and for the SH SON climatology. ....116

Figure 4.6: Seasonal variation of the maximum amplitude of the NH and SH 10 hPa climatological stationary wave.....117

Figure 4.7: Phase in degrees and amplitude (contour interval 200 m), in polar coordinates, of

wave-1 and wave-2 10 hPa stationary waves for NH DJF and SH SON. Ratio of wave-2 to wave-1 amplitude on 10 hPa for NH DJF and for SH SON.....	118
Figure 4.8: Trends in the amplitude of the seasonal-mean stationary wave for the periods 1980-1999, 2000-2049, and 2050-2099 in the REF-B2 simulations.....	119
Figure 4.9: Annual mean residual vertical velocities at 70 hPa, “turn-around” latitudes, and upward mass flux at 70 hPa calculated from residual vertical velocity. Seasonal anomalies from the annual mean are shown. ....	120
Figure 4.10: Annual mean upward mass flux averaged from 1980 to 1999 for the REF-B1 simulations and from 1992 to 2001 for the UKMO analyses. ....	121
Figure 4.11: For the REF-B2 simulations. (a) Annual mean upward mass flux at 70 hPa, calculated from $w^*$ . Also shown is the annual mean mass flux trend at 70 hPa from (b) 1980-1999, (c) 2000-2049 and (d) 2050-2099.....	122
Figure 4.12: Monthly mean climatology of the eddy meridional heat flux at 100 hPa for the months of January and July, 1980-1999.....	123
Figure 4.13: Linear trends in the mean meridional heat flux averaged between 40°N/S and 80°N/S for the winter seasons.....	125
Figure 4.14: Parameters of the linear fit to the scatter plot of the 100 hPa heat flux vs. the 50 hPa temperature. ....	126
Figure 4.15: Seasonally accumulated area at 50 hPa where daily temperatures are below 195 K and below 188 K for REF-B1 and REF-B2 simulations.....	127
Figure 4.16: Linear trend (1980-1999) for the Antarctic and the Arctic of the seasonally accumulated area at 50 hPa where daily temperatures are below 195 K and below 188 K for REF-B1 simulations.....	127
Figure 4.17: Linear trend (1980-1999, 2000-2049, 2050-2099) for the Antarctic and the Arctic of the seasonally accumulated area at 50 hPa where daily temperatures are below 195 K and below 188 K for the REF-B2 simulations.....	128
Figure 4.18: Location and amplitude of the maximum interannual standard deviation of the zonal-mean zonal wind in the NH in DJF poleward of 45°N and in the SH in JJA between 80°S and 30°S.....	130
Figure 4.19: Eigenvalue of the leading mode of variability of the 50 hPa zonal-mean zonal wind for the SH (right) and NH (left).....	131
Figure 4.20: Regression patterns (m/s) of first (top) and second (bottom) mode of the 50 hPa zonal-mean zonal wind determined for regions poleward of 45°; (left) SH and (right) NH..	131
Figure 4.21: Profiles of the standard deviation in the de-trended zonal-mean zonal wind averaged from 10°S-10°N for the REF-B1 simulations. ....	133
Figure 4.22: Profiles of the amplitude of the “QBO” in the zonal-mean zonal wind averaged between 10°S-10°N for the REF-B1 simulations. ....	133
Figure 4.23: Profiles of the amplitude of the SAO in the zonal-mean zonal wind averaged between 10°S-10°N for the REF-B1 simulations.....	133
Figure 4.24: Profiles of the amplitude of the annual-cycle in the zonal-mean zonal wind averaged between 10°S-10°N for the REF-B1 simulations. ....	134
Figure 4.25: Mean frequency of NH major SSWs per year for the REF-B1 and REF-B2 simulations between 1960 and 2000. ....	135
Figure 4.26: Histograms showing the frequency of major SSWs in the REF-B1 simulations (1960-	

2000) in comparison to ERA-40 reanalysis ..... 136

Figure 4.27: Mean date of the NH and SH final warmings for REF-B1 and REF-B2 simulations (1980-1999). ..... 137

Figure 4.28: Linear trend in the date of the SH final warming from REF-B1 and REF-B2 simulations..... 138

Figure 4.29: Matrix showing the performance of the model ensemble in a variety of metrics described in Table 4.1a, b after Waugh and Eyring (2008)..... 142

**Chapter 5: Transport**

Figure 5.1: Schematic of the stratospheric circulation..... 150

Figure 5.2: Water vapour tape recorder signal from the models and the combined HALOE+MLS data set from Schoeberl et al. (2008). ..... 152

Figure 5.3: Phase lag and amplitude, relative to the maximum amplitude as a function of height above the levels of maximum amplitude, of the water vapour tape recorder, averaged over 10°S-10°N. .... 153

Figure 5.4: The tape recorder phase speed versus the scale height for the TLS, and the TMS, and versus the scale height per wavelength ..... 154

Figure 5.5: Mean age from 15 CCMs and the multi-model mean..... 155

Figure 5.6: Comparison of the tropical vertical velocities derived from the tape recorder (TR) and mean age gradient (AG), as well as model residual vertical velocities ..... 158

Figure 5.7: Tropical (10°N-10°S) CH<sub>4</sub> profiles from all CCMs in two seasons compared to HALOE mean profiles.. ..... 161

Figure 5.8: Contoured probability distribution functions of N<sub>2</sub>O for 10°S-45°N for NH spring (March-April-May) for 16 CCMs. MIPAS and MLS observations are shown in the first two panels. 164

Figure 5.9: Probability distribution functions of N<sub>2</sub>O on the 800 K surface for NH spring (top panels) and SH spring (bottom panels). ..... 165

Figure 5.10: Fractional release of inorganic Cl as a function of mean age of air ..... 167

Figure 5.11: Modelled and observed Cl<sub>y</sub> times series for 1980-2006.. ..... 168

Figure 5.12: Area-weighted mean over 45°S-89°S of monthly mean N<sub>2</sub>O tendencies at 50 hPa and 100 hPa. .... 170

Figure 5.13: Same as Figure 5.12, but for 45°N-89°N..... 171

Figure 5.14: Performance metrics for model mean age of air at 60°N and 60°S, 50 hPa... 172

Figure 5.15: Contoured PDF of HALOE CH<sub>4</sub> data and models for SH spring ..... 173

Figure 5.16: The most probable values of the CH<sub>4</sub> PDFs identified from HALOE and model analyses. .... 174

Figure 5.17: 18 CCM and observed profiles of N<sub>2</sub>O, 80°S-88°S, for September..... 175

Figure 5.18: Mean age changes in the REF-B2 simulations during the 21st century..... 176

Figure 5.19: Quantitative assessment of model performance on transport diagnostics..... 183

Figure 5.20: Correlations between the average mean age grade and four fundamental diagnostic quantities ..... 185

Figure 5.21: Mean age from 10 CCMs participating in CCMVal-1 and their multi-model mean. 186

## Chapter 6: Stratospheric Chemistry

Figure 6.1: Model deviations in $\ln(J)$ ( $\text{sec}^{-1}$ ) from the robust mean for nine selected J-values (NO, O <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> (1D), NO <sub>2</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>a</sub> , CFCI <sub>3</sub> , CF <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O) from PhotoComp experiment P1a (clear sky, SZA = 15°). .....	197
Figure 6.2: Ratio of J-values for a Pinatubo-like stratospheric aerosol layer .....	198
Figure 6.3: Ratio of J-values for a stratus cloud layer. ....	199
Figure 6.4: Model deviations in $\ln(J)$ from the robust mean for three selected J-values (NO, O <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> ) from PhotoComp experiment P2a, and for J-NO, J-O <sub>2</sub> and J-O <sub>3</sub> and J-Cl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> for experiments P1a, P2n, P2a, and P2m. ....	200
Figure 6.5: J-values ( $\text{sec}^{-1}$ ) vs. pressure altitude for (a) O <sub>3</sub> yielding O( <sup>1</sup> D), (b) O <sub>3</sub> total, and (c) NO <sub>2</sub> from the Photocomp P3 experiment .....	201
Figure 6.6: Matrices displaying PhotoComp grades for the nine participating CCMs. ....	202
Figure 6.7: Sulfate surface area density versus pressure and versus geometric altitude for 35°N, September 1993 and 22°N, February 1996 from eight CCMs. ....	204
Figure 6.8: Comparison of N <sub>2</sub> O profiles and the relation of radical precursors versus N <sub>2</sub> O (black) to zonal monthly mean values from various CCM models for 35°N in September 1993. ....	206
Figure 6.9: Comparison of zonal monthly mean profiles of radicals from CCM models versus 24-hour average radical profiles found using a PSS box model constrained by profiles of T, O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, NO <sub>y</sub> , Cl <sub>y</sub> , Br <sub>y</sub> , and sulfate SAD from the various CCMs for 35°N in September 1993. ....	208
Figure 6.10: Metrics for radical precursors and sulfate surface area and radicals for a simulation carried out at 35°N, September 1993. ....	212
Figure 6.11: Scatter plot of metrics for the radical precursors, sulfate surface area, and fast chemistry for the simulation carried out at 35°N, September 1993 vs. metrics for the same quantities from the 22°N, February 1996 simulation .....	213
Figure 6.12: Correlation of CH <sub>4</sub> vs. N <sub>2</sub> O for zonal-mean monthly-mean output from the final 10 years of REF-B1 runs from 17 CCM runs and MIPAS data. ....	214
Figure 6.13: Correlation of CH <sub>4</sub> vs. H <sub>2</sub> O for zonal-mean monthly-mean output from the final 10 years of REF-B1 runs from 17 CCMs and MIPAS data. ....	215
Figure 6.14: Correlation of NO <sub>y</sub> vs. N <sub>2</sub> O for zonal mean monthly mean output from the final 10 years of REF-B1 runs from 16 CCMs. ....	216
Figure 6.15: Grading plot for 18 CCMs for tracer-tracer correlations, comparisons with the mean annual cycle and mean vertical profiles of a range of tracers. ....	218
Figure 6.16: Mean annual cycle for 30°N-60°N at 50 hPa for modelled CH <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O, CO, O <sub>3</sub> , HCl, ClONO <sub>2</sub> , HNO <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> and BrO. ....	219
Figure 6.17: Mean profiles for 30°S-60°S for CH <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O, CO, O <sub>3</sub> , HCl, ClONO <sub>2</sub> , HNO <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> and BrO compared with observations. . ....	219
Figure 6.18: Time series of modelled zonal-mean trace gas abundance in the tropical upper stratosphere for OH, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , HO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , ClO, HCl, H <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>4</sub> and O <sub>3</sub> . ....	220
Figure 6.19: Comparison of observed column abundances (molecules cm <sup>-2</sup> ) of HCl, ClONO <sub>2</sub> , and HCl + ClONO <sub>2</sub> at Jungfraujoch (45°N) with output from REF-B1 simulations. ....	221
Figure 6.20: Comparison of observed column abundances (molecules cm <sup>-2</sup> ) of NO <sub>2</sub> at Jungfraujoch (45°N) for (a) sunrise and (b) sunset observations with output from REF-B1 simulations ..	222

Figure 6.21: Time series of total chlorine volume mixing ratio from 1960 to 2100 .....	223
Figure 6.22: As Figure 6.21 but for total bromine mixing ratio (ppbv).....	224
Figure 6.23: Time series of O <sub>3</sub> (ppmv), CH <sub>4</sub> (ppmv), N <sub>2</sub> O (ppbv), H <sub>2</sub> O (ppmv) and NO <sub>y</sub> (ppbv) annually averaged between 10°S and 10°N at 5 hPa from REF-B2 runs of 14 CCMs and the multi-model mean.....	225
Figure 6.24: As Figure 6.23 but for an annual average between 30°N and 60°N at 70 hPa.	226
Figure 6.25: As Figure 6.23 but for a September–November average between 90°S and 60°S at 50 hPa. ....	226
Figure 6.26: Southern hemisphere profiles of HNO <sub>3</sub> versus $\theta$ from Aura MLS at mid-month from May through October.....	228
Figure 6.27: CCM climatological profiles of HNO <sub>3</sub> from mid-May through mid-October.....	229
Figure 6.28: Change in HNO <sub>3</sub> from 350 K to 600 K, relative to May, for Aura MLS (abbreviated as AMLS in legend) and 12 CCM climatologies (legend uses first 4 letters of each model) and their multi-model mean (MMM).....	230
Figure 6.29: Grades obtained for 12 CCMs and their multi-model mean (MMM) from a comparison of model versus MLS-derived climatological changes in HNO <sub>3</sub> .....	231
Figure 6.30a: Variations in average HNO <sub>3</sub> at 500 K during the course of a year in 4 EqL bins, based on climatologies from Aura MLS, 6 CCMs and their multi-model mean, and corresponding rms variability over the 5-year climatology, for each sampled day of year. ....	232
Figure 6.30b: Same as Figure 6.30a, but for Aura MLS HNO <sub>3</sub> .....	233
Figure 6.31: Climatological profiles of H <sub>2</sub> O from mid-May through mid-October.....	234
Figure 6.32: Climatological profiles of HCl from mid-May through mid-October .....	235
Figure 6.33: Summary of grades relating to SH changes in HNO <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O, and HCl.....	236
Figure 6.34: Comparison of model maximum SAD for NAT and ICE. ....	237
Figure 6.35: Vortex average temperatures (top), and PACI (bottom) from January through March for the Arctic (left) and from July through September for the Antarctic (right) and between 440-550K. ....	238
Figure 6.36: Chemical ozone depletion in the polar vortex from January through April and July through October between 350-550 K. ....	239
Figure 6.37: Relationship between Arctic chemical ozone loss and PACI for the years between 1990 and 2005. Model Cl <sub>x</sub> versus PACI is also shown .....	240
Figure 6.38: Relationship between Antarctic chemical ozone loss and PACI for the years between 1960 and 2005. Model Cl <sub>x</sub> versus PACI is also shown .....	241
Figure 6.39: Summary of grades, as discussed in the text.....	242

## Chapter 7: Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere

Figure 7.1: Schematic of the UTLS. ....	257
Figure 7.2: A sample Taylor diagram. ....	259
Figure 7.3: Annual cycle of tropical cold point tropopause temperature.....	262
Figure 7.4: Time series of annual mean tropical cold point tropopause temperature.....	263
Figure 7.5: Tropical lapse rate tropopause pressure.....	263
Figure 7.6: Annual mean time series of tropical lapse rate tropopause pressure.....	264

Figure 7.7: Lagrangian cold point diagnostics for ERA-40, E39CA, and CMAM.....	265
Figure 7.8: Residence time for the trajectories in the TTL (385-395 K).....	266
Figure 7.9: Annual cycle of tropical ozone mixing ratio .....	267
Figure 7.10: Annual cycle of tropical water vapour at 80 hPa .....	267
Figure 7.11: Correlation of minimum monthly mean water vapour with saturation vapour mixing ratio ( $Q_{\text{sat}}$ ).....	268
Figure 7.12: Zonal-wavenumber-frequency spectrum of tropical temperatures at 100 hPa for the symmetric component. ....	269
Figure 7.13: Same as Figure 7.12, but for the antisymmetric component.....	270
Figure 7.14: Zonal cross section of static stability in tropopause coordinates.....	272
Figure 7.15: Tropical vertical profiles of static stability in tropopause coordinates .....	273
Figure 7.16: Zonal-mean zonal wind at 200 hPa.....	275
Figure 7.17: Metrics for the zonal-mean zonal wind at 200 hPa. ....	276
Figure 7.18: Seasonal cycle in LMS mass .....	276
Table 7.19: Same as Figure 7.17, but for LMS mass. ....	277
Figure 7.20: Extra-tropical tropopause pressure variability for SH and NH.....	277
Figure 7.21: Extra-tropical vertical profiles of static stability in tropopause coordinates .....	278
Figure 7.22: Extra-tropical seasonal cycles in monthly mean $O_3$ , $HNO_3$ , and $H_2O$ at 100 hPa and 200 hPa for NH.....	279
Figure 7.23: Same as Figure 7.22, but for SH.....	280
Figure 7.24: Meridional gradient in $O_3$ at 200 hPa.....	281
Figure 7.25: Same as Figure 7.17, but for meridional gradient in $O_3$ at 200 hPa .....	282
Figure 7.26: Profiles of normalised CO .....	283
Figure 7.27: $O_3$ profiles in tropopause coordinates for four seasons.....	284
Figure 7.28: Grades for mean ozone values in the UTLS. ....	285
Figure 7.29: CO and $H_2O$ annual mean profiles in tropopause coordinates.....	286
Figure 7.30: Comparison of the extra-tropical tropopause transition layer (ExTL).....	287
Figure 7.31: Probability function maps of $O_3$ variability in NH .....	287
Figure 7.32: Grades of $O_3$ variability at 68 hPa in NH.....	288
Figure 7.33: Annual cycle in $O_3$ differences (low-high tropopause) at 68 hPa .....	289
Figure 7.34: Trends in tropical lapse rate tropopause pressure. ....	290
Figure 7.35: Trends in tropical cold point tropopause.....	290
Figure 7.36: Trends in tropical 80 hPa water vapour time series .....	291
Figure 7.37: Trends in $O_3$ and $H_2O$ in pressure and tropopause coordinates.....	291
Figure 7.38: Trends in extra-tropical tropopause pressure in NH and SH.....	292
Figure 7.39: Quantitative metrics summary.....	293

## Chapter 8: Natural Variability of Stratospheric Ozone

Figure 8.1: Ozone variations for 60°S-60°N in DU estimated from ground-based measurements and individual components that comprise ozone variations, from 1964 to 2008.....	309
--	-----

---

Figure 8.2: Monthly mean ozone mixing ratios at 1 hPa, 40°S, equator and 40°N, and 46 hPa, 72°S, equator and 72°N from MLS observations and models. ....	310
Figure 8.3: Climatological zonal mean O <sub>3</sub> mixing ratios from the CCMVal-2 CCMs and HALOE in ppmv. ....	311
Figure 8.4: Normalised Taylor diagram of the annual and semi-annual harmonics of the zonal-mean ozone, latitude-pressure distribution, for the NIWA-3D data set and the CCMVal-2 models. ....	313
Figure 8.5: Normalised Taylor diagram of the annual cycle of the zonal-mean column ozone, latitude-month distribution, for the NIWA-column and TOMS+gb data sets and the CCMVal-2 models. ....	313
Figure 8.6a: Interannual variability of polar cap averaged column ozone and corresponding normalised Taylor diagrams for NH and SH over the period onward of 1980.. ....	315
Figure 8.6b: Mean polar cap averaged column ozone and corresponding normalised Taylor diagrams. ....	316
Figure 8.7: Slope parameter of the linear fit to the scatter plots of the Spring/Autumn ozone ratio versus the 100 hPa winter heat flux, plotted against the mean Spring/Autumn ozone ratio for each model. ....	317
Figure 8.8: Slope parameter of the linear fit to the scatter plots of the polar cap averaged column ozone versus 50 hPa temperature, plotted against the column ozone value of the linear fit at T = 200 K for each model data. ....	318
Figure 8.9a: Regression of column ozone on the simplified annular mode for NH March. ....	319
Figure 8.9b: Regression of column ozone on the simplified annular mode for SH Nov. ....	320
Figure 8.10: Normalised Taylor diagrams of the regression of column ozone on the simplified annular mode for NH March and SH November. ....	321
Figure 8.11: Annual mean tropical (25°S-25°N) solar regression coefficients for temperature and ozone, and the relative uncertainty in temperature and ozone. ....	323
Figure 8.12: Solar cycle shortwave heating rate differences in Kelvin per day in 100 units of the F10.7cm solar flux averaged between 25°S and 25°N for those CCMs that prescribed a solar cycle. ....	324
Figure 8.13: Amplitude of the solar cycle in the upper stratosphere over latitude for ozone at 3 hPa in %/100 units of the F10.7cm radio flux and temperature at 1 hPa in K/100 units of the F10.7 cm radio flux. ....	325
Figure 8.14: Monthly zonal-mean standard deviation of zonal-mean zonal wind (left, m/s) and ozone (right, DU/km) averaged from 5°S to 5°N. ....	327
Figure 8.15: Annual mean QBO regression coefficient in ozone in percent at equatorial latitudes (5°S-5°N) from the CCMVal-2 CCMs (1960-2004) and observations. ....	328
Figure 8.16: Latitudinal distribution of the annual mean QBO amplitude in column ozone from the CCMVal-2 CCMs (1960-2004) and observations. ....	328
Figure 8.17: Reconstruction of the QBO contribution to the monthly zonal mean column ozone averaged from 5°S to 5°N. ....	329
Figure 8.18: Annual mean tropical (25°S-25°N) ENSO regression coefficients from 1000 to 1 hPa for temperature ozone from models and observations. ....	330
Figure 8.19: Scatter plot of the February-March polar cap ENSO anomaly in column ozone versus temperature (30-70 hPa average). ....	332

Figure 8.20: Annual mean global mean 50 hPa temperature anomalies from pre-volcanic conditions for the Agung, El Chichón and Pinatubo eruptions.....	333
Figure 8.21: Annual mean tropical (25°S-25°N) contribution from the volcanic basis function from models and observations to temperature for Pinatubo from 1000 to 1 hPa. ....	334
Figure 8.22: Annual mean global mean column ozone anomalies from pre-volcanic conditions for the Agung, El Chichón and Pinatubo eruptions.....	334
Figure 8.23: Post volcanic eruption annual mean global mean anomalies of column ozone as a function of similarly calculated anomalies in ClO at 50 hPa.....	335
Figure 8.24: Matrix displaying the model performance.....	336

## Chapter 9: Long-term projections of stratospheric ozone

Figure 9.1: Raw time series data of annually averaged total ozone for the latitude range 25°S-25°N and initial individual model trend (IMT) estimates, and 1980 baseline-adjusted time series data and 1980 baseline-adjusted IMT estimates for the TSAM analysis.....	351
Figure 9.2: 1980 baseline-adjusted multi-model trend (MMT) estimates of annually averaged total ozone for the latitude range 25°S-25°N.....	352
Figure 9.3: Results of the MLR analysis for the CCMVal-2 models in the latitude band 25°S-25°N. Sensitivity of the model ozone to halogen, sensitivity of the model ozone amounts to temperature and sensitivity of the model ozone amounts to NO <sub>y</sub> .....	353
Figure 9.4: Results of the MLR analysis for the CCMVal-2 models for 25°S-25°N for the evolution of ozone at 5 hPa, change in 5 hPa ozone relative to 1980 levels, and the evolution of Cl <sub>y</sub> + αBr <sub>y</sub> and contribution of Cl <sub>y</sub> + αBr <sub>y</sub> to the ozone and temperature changes.....	354
Figure 9.5: Vertical profile results of the MLR analysis for the models for 25°S-25°N for ozone in the year 2000, ozone change from 2000 to 2100, and Cl <sub>y</sub> + αBr <sub>y</sub> change from 2000 to 2100 and contribution of the Cl <sub>y</sub> + αBr <sub>y</sub> change to the ozone, temperature and NO <sub>y</sub> change.....	355
Figure 9.6: Scatter plot showing the differences (from 1960 to 2100) in 70 hPa $\bar{w}^*$ and 50 hPa ozone for models .....	356
Figure 9.7: As in Figure 9.2 but for the latitude range 35°N-60°N. ....	357
Figure 9.8: As in Figure 9.2 but for the latitude range 35°S-60°S. ....	357
Figure 9.9: As in Figure 9.2 but for 50 hPa Cl <sub>y</sub> in the latitude range 35°N-60°N. ....	358
Figure 9.10: Vertical profiles of differences in mid-latitude (35°S-60°S and 35°N-60°N) ozone over the the 21 <sup>st</sup> century and the contributions of Cl <sub>y</sub> + αBr <sub>y</sub> , temperature, and NO <sub>y</sub> . ....	360
Figure 9.11: As in Figure 9.2 but for the month of March and the latitude range 60°N-90°N.	361
Figure 9.12: As in Figure 9.2 but for the Month of October and the latitude range 60°S-90°S.....	361
Figure 9.13: As in Figure 9.2 but for 50 hPa Cl <sub>y</sub> in the latitude range 60°N-90°N. ....	363
Figure 9.14: As in Figure 9.2 but for 50 hPa Cl <sub>y</sub> in the latitude range 60°S-90°S.....	363
Figure 9.15: Total column ozone as a function of latitude, averaged for the period 1996-2005 for 10 days before and after the minimum column ozone.....	364
Figure 9.16: Meridional gradient in total column ozone averaged for the period 1996-2005 for the 10 days on either side of the ozone minimum. ....	364
Figure 9.17: Latitude of maximum meridional gradient in total column ozone, as a function of the ozone value at that latitude.....	365

Figure 9.18: Simulated and observed ozone hole areas, based on a fixed, 220 DU amount, the 1960-1965 minimum, and the value at the maximum gradient. ....	365
Figure 9.19: Ozone hole area versus cold area (50 hPa $T < 195$ K), averaged for July to September for each model compared with observations. The results were calculated from the REF-B1 simulations, and are averaged for the period 1990-2008. ....	366
Figure 9.20: Date of return to 1980 values for the annual average and spring total ozone column derived from the IMT and MMT estimates for CCMVal-1 and CCMVal-2 .....	368
Figure 9.21: Date of return to 1980 values for the annual average 50 hPa $Cl_y$ derived from the IMT and MMT estimates for CCMVal-1 and CCMVal-2 .....	368
Figure 9.22: Date of return to 1960 (left) and 1980 (right) values for the annual average (tropical and mid-latitude) and spring (polar) total ozone column derived from the IMT and MMT estimates for CCMVal-2. ....	369
Figure 9.23: Date of return to 1960 and 1980 values for the annual average 50 hPa $Cl_y$ derived from the IMT and MMT estimates for CCMVal-2. ....	369
Figure 9.24: Relationship between the date of return of $Cl_y$ to the 1980 value compared with the date of return of column ozone for the selected latitude ranges in Figure 9.20.....	370
Figure 9.25: Date of return of the annual mean ozone to the value appropriate to the reference year indicated on the abscissa. ....	371
Figure 9.26: The average seasonal cycle of total column ozone over NH and SH mid-latitudes for two periods and its change.....	372
Figure 9.27: The relationship between the recovery date of mid-latitude ( $35^\circ$ - $60^\circ$ ) annual average ozone from the MMT analysis and the change in amplitude of the seasonal cycle of ozone averaged over the spring in each hemisphere. ....	373

## Chapter 10: Effects of the stratosphere on the troposphere

Figure 10.1: JFM multi-model errors in zonal-mean zonal wind.....	384
Figure 10.2: CCMVal-2 seasonal mean combined performance for u, v, and T. ....	386
Figure 10.3: Comparison between CCMVal-2 and CCMVal-1 for u and T.....	386
Figure 10.4: Median uncertainty comparison between CCMVal-2 (REF-B1) and CMIP3 (AMIP experiment) for u, v, and T combined. ....	388
Figure 10.5: Composite differences of the standardized NAM index between strong and weak stratospheric events. ....	389
Figure 10.6: Composite differences of the standardized SAM index between strong and weak stratospheric events .....	390
Figure 10.7: The RMS amplitude of the annular mode pattern of variability as a function of pressure in the NH and SH.....	391
Figure 10.8: The variance of the NAM and SAM indices as a function of seasonal and height: ECMWF reanalysis and the multi-model ensemble mean. ....	392
Figure 10.9: The e-folding time scale of the NAM and SAM indices as a function of seasonal and height: ECMWF reanalysis and the multi-model ensemble mean.....	392
Figure 10.10: The fraction of the variance of the monthly mean 850 hPa AM index, lagged by 10 days, that is linearly correlated with the instantaneous AM index as a function of season and height: ECMWF reanalysis and the multi-model ensemble mean.....	392
Figure 10.11: The annular mode e-folding time scale (left) in the lower stratosphere and (right)	

mid-troposphere as a function of season for the CCMVal-2 models: (top) NH, (bottom) SH.	393
Figure 10.12: Seasonal cycle of linear trends (1969-1998) in temperature and geopotential height over the Antarctic.	394
Figure 10.13: 30-yr trends (1969-1998) in Antarctic September-December total ozone versus the October-January temperature trend at 100-hPa.	395
Figure 10.14: Long-term mean and linear trend of the DJF-mean zonal-mean zonal wind (a) for the time period of 1960-1999 in REF-B1 runs, and (b) for the time period of 2000-2079 in REF-B2 runs.	396
Figure 10.15: Trend relationship between SON-NDJ-mean ozone at 50 hPa integrated south of 64°S and variables of interest: ONDJ-mean temperature at 100 hPa integrated south of 64°S, DJF-mean extra-tropical tropopause pressure integrated south of 50°S, location of the DJF-mean zonal wind maximum at 850 hPa, and location of the SH Hadley cell boundary at 500 hPa.	397
Figure 10.16: SH circulation changes as simulated by the SPARC/CCMVal-2 models and four sets of the IPCC/AR4 models.	398
Figure 10.17: REF-B1 Runs: Annual means of surface clear-sky erythemal irradiance changes (in %, relative to 1965-1979) for five latitude belts.	399
Figure 10.18: REF-B2 runs. Annual means of surface clear-sky erythemal irradiance changes (in %, relative to 1965-1979) for five latitude belts.	400
Figure 10.19: (a) Average of surface erythemal irradiance for October - November at 75°S-90°S. (b) same as in (a) but for March -April at 90°N-75°N.	401
Figure 10.20: 20-year averages of clear-sky and all-sky erythemal irradiance changes (%) for January and July with respect to the 1965-1979 average.	402
Figure 10.21: Calculated changes in global mean ozone-induced radiative forcing evaluated at the tropopause based on simulated ozone in 17 REF-B1 simulations and a fixed dynamical heating model.	403
Figure 10.22: Multi-model comparison of the time evolution of global, northern hemispheric, southern hemispheric stratospheric ozone flux into the troposphere between 1960 and 2100 derived from CCMVal-2 models.	405

## Appendix B: Time Series Additive-Model Analysis

Figure B.1: CCMVal-1 time series of monthly averaged total column ozone in the latitude band 60°N-90°N for March and in the latitude band 60°S-90°S for October.	420
Figure B.2: The initial estimate of the individual model trends $h_j(t)$ for the raw time series displayed in Figure B.1, the 1980 baseline-adjusted time series data $y'_{jk}$ with $t_0 = 1980$ , and the 1980 baseline-adjusted trend estimate $h'_j(t)$ .	421
Figure B.3: Individual model autocorrelation functions for the residuals $\varepsilon_{jk}(t)$ for CCMVal-1 October total column ozone in the latitude band 60°S-90°S. This noise corresponds to the nonparametric model (9.8) with 1980 baseline trend estimates $h_j(t)$ displayed in Figure B.2f.	422
Figure B.4: Individual model autocorrelation functions for the noise term $\varepsilon_{jk}(t)$ for CCMVal-1 October total column ozone in the latitude band 60°S-90°S. This noise corresponds to the simpler nonparametric model(9.9) with a 1980 baseline trend estimate $g(t)$ displayed in Figure B.2d.	423
Figure B.5: Individual model notched box-and-whisker plots for the noise term $\varepsilon_{jk}(t)$ corresponding to the simpler nonparametric additive model (B.8) and for the noise term $\varepsilon_{jk}(t)$ corresponding to	

the nonparametric additive model (B.7).....424

Figure B.6: For time series of CCMVal-1 October total column ozone in the latitude band 60°S-90°S are presented the individual model fits, weights, and trend (MMT) estimate for three approaches.....425