

Model evaluation using seamless assessment

Keith Williams

SPARC meeting, 21/06/10 © Crown copyright Met Office



- Traditional boundaries between Weather and Climate prediction are artificial.
- Many key systematic climate errors are common to short range integrations of the same model run from well balanced initial states.
- Seamless Model Assessment (our definition) is using a model across space and timescales to assess and improve the simulation of processes within the model.
- Having a Unified Modelling system increases the types of analysis which can be undertaken, but much can be learnt about climate models without an NWP/DA counterpart by still running them in 'NWP mode'.





Day 3 error

GFDL precipitation biases PPT Day3 AM2-CMAP DJF



AM2-CMAP_DJF_1992-3





Example analysis 1: Cloud regimes (MetUM)



ISCCP cloud regimes



Rossow et al. (2005, GRL)



Observed and simulated midlatitude cloud regimes



Williams and Webb (2009, Clim Dyn)



Cloud regime properties in short-range forecasts









Example analysis 2: Dry lower troposphere (NCAR CAM)



CAM humidity errors



Williamson et al. (2005)



Breakdown of hindcast tendencies



Williamson et al. (2005)



Example analysis 3: SE Pacific Stratocumulus (CAM, GFDL, ECMWF)

Investigation of PBL height against EPIC

Met Office





Example analysis 4: Analysis of the MJO (MetUM)



Coupled model errors in seasonal forecast









- Largest seasonal hindcast errors over Indo-Pacific warm pool
- Region of strong air-sea interactions, initiation of MJO
- Errors develops at day 4-5 and propagates across time scales
- Initial errors can have strong impact on the MJO

Prince Xavier



OLR errors (2009/06/08)

GloSea4 (Coupled)



MOGREPS15 (Atmos-only)





MOGREPS day 6-10 error









Prince Xavier



Example analysis 5: Initial tendency analysis of a PPE (ECMWF)



Drift Minimisation using Initial Tendencies in PPE



© Crown copyright Met Office

Rodwell and Palmer (2007)



Transpose-AMIP

Steering committee: Keith Williams (chair), David Williamson, Steve Klein, Christian Jakob, Catherine Senior



What is Transpose-AMIP?

• Basically, running climate models in NWP mode.

- Joint WGNE-WGCM endorsed activity
- Core expt for Transpose-AMIP II is to run 64 hindcasts, each 5 days long, initialised from ECMWF YOTC analysis.
- Optional expt to repeat the same set of hindcasts with NASA MERRA re-analysis or own analysis.
- The hindcasts are spread through the annual and diurnal cycles during 2008/9 and were chosen to tie in with YOTC and coincide with some of the IOPs in:
 - VOCALS
 - AMY
 - T-PARC
- Any global modelling centre (NWP or climate) can submit data. Those taking part in CMIP5 should use the same model as is being used for their AMIP simulation.

www.transpose-amip.info



- To test model's parametrizations while the circulation is still close to observed.
- To evaluate processes operating in the model against observations for particular events (e.g. ARM/Cloudnet sites, actual A-train passes, etc.)
- To compare SCM case study results to full GCM.
- To be able to comment on the ability of models taking part in CMIP5 to accurately represent fast processes.



www.transpose-amip.info



- Many systematic errors in climate models develop quickly.
- Analysis of short range forecasts where the dynamics are still well constrained can provide a useful testbed for understanding the cause of these biases.
- The development of climatological errors in coupled phenomena can be analysed in the initial period of a seasonal forecast.
- Having a Unified Model helps, but much can be done with climate models without their own DA system. Transpose-AMIP aims to provide an intercomparison of climate models in the short range framework.



Discussion question: Would similar types of analysis be useful in the stratosphere?