

Diagnosing stratospheric winds and their use in CTMs

Bram Bregman Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute

•••• SPARC DA-workshop Noordwijk, 2-4 October 2006

'From GCM to CTM'

Noordwijk, 2-4 October 2006





Bregman et al., 2003, 2006



Assimilated winds produce much younger mean age of air than GCM winds



Figure 6. (a) Age of air (years) calculated from an SF-6 simulation using CTM_{FVDAS}. The age calculation converges after 5 years integration. (b) Same as Figure 6a but using CTM_{FVGCM}. The age calculation converges after 9 years integration. The contour interval is 0.2 years; the 2-year contour is bold for both panels.

(Douglass et al. JGR, 2003)





Kinematic: considerable vertical and horizontal dispersion Diabatic: vertical dispersion reduced (smooth heating rates) GCM: very little dispersion, regardless of method used:

SPARC DA-workshop Noordwijk, 2-4 October 2006

(Schoeberl et al., JGR, 2003)



Latitudinal ozone crosssections: ECMWF Operational Data (OD) in TM5 -GOME

ozone accumulation

(De Laat et al., JGR, 2006)





Ozone: OD – ERA40



(De Laat et al., JGR, 2006)





Mean age of air: OD – ERA40







Mean age of air: forecast length



N₂O distributions and update frequency: 3-hrly versus 6-hourly



6-hourly

2 oktober 2002 8 UT





(Berthet et al., ACP, 2006)



6-hourly interpolated



3-hourly interpolated

CH₄ distribution: update frequency



6-hourly instantaneous





Air pacel dispersion and update frequency









Are DAS winds too dispersive?





GCM results regarded as the reference. But what is the "reference"?

•••• SPARC DA-workshop Noordwijk, 2-4 October 2006

(Schoeberl et al., JGR, 2003)

tracer experiment in nature: Pinatubo aerosol





altitude



Bregman et al., ACP, 2006, GCM results provided by D. Sankey, UofT

Are DAS winds too dispersive?





How does 12-24 hour wind averaging reduce dispersion?

(Schoeberl et al., JGR, 2003)





Summary

- More insight in the implementation of GCM winds in CTMs (assimilation, forecasts, wind variability)
- Forecasts and more wind variability improve stratospheric tracer transport on various spatial scales, although the circulation remains too fast.
- λ The impact of GCM wind variability remains to be investigated: what is the required variability?
- λ Tropical air parcel dispersion should be interpreted with care
- Proper investigation of model grid resolution can only be performed without 'hidden' polar grid reduction



"...current DAS products will not give realistic trace gas distributions for long integrations" – Schoeberl et al. (2003)

"Current" was 2002. Now (2006) significant improvements have been made in the assimilation procedures and there is much more insight in the implementation of DAS winds in CTMs.

"we may have hit a wall in the use of DAS winds for stratospheric tracer transport" – R. Rood (2005)

No! Stratospheric assimilation is a relatively new and active field and subject of on-going development. Also the treatment of DAS winds in CTMs leaves room for improvement. Regions of concern are the tropical stratosphere and the mesosphere.

